

---

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN  
PHARMACY, BIOLOGY AND CHEMISTRY****Research Article*****In Vitro* Antimicrobial Activity Along with Biomass  
Production in Wastewater by Cyanobacteria  
*Spirulina platensis*****Suman Das\***Department of Botany, Charuchandra College,  
22 Lake Road, Kolkata, India-700029.**ABSTRACT**

With the exponentially increasing population pressure and huge amount of wastewater thus generated, biological wastewater treatment systems has drawn the interests of scientists in recent years. Use of cyanobacteria in wastewater treatment could prove beneficial as they would also increase oxygenation and mineralization. In present study *in vitro* antimicrobial activity along with biomass production in domestic wastewater by Cyanobacteria *Spirulina platensis* was evaluated. *Spirulina platensis* removed coliforms and faecal coliforms considerably in respect with control. Cyanobacteria cause diurnal variation of pH, alkaline pH and temperature increase as a result of conversion of light energy to heat energy and antibacterial exudates which help in pathogenic bacteria reduction. The rate of growth was very high during the first week but almost stagnant after three weeks of growth, seemingly because of nutrient depletion. The extracts of the cyanobacterial strain, especially ethanolic extract were effective against *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella enterica* and fungus *Aspergillus niger*.

**Keywords:** Cyanobacteria, *Spirulina platensis*, wastewater, biomass, antibacterial.**INTRODUCTION**

There is a delicate balance in the environment, incorporating all the biotic and abiotic factors. Given the vast and largely untapped diversity of microorganisms and the versatility of their catabolic processes, it seems probable that many, if not all of these pollutants could be amenable to bioremediation<sup>1</sup>.

Domestic sewage contains high amounts of biodegradable organic matter, inorganic nutrients and huge amount of microorganisms. Such wastewater, when discharged into water courses without proper treatment, is not only unfit for irrigation or aquaculture but can deplete dissolved oxygen from the receiving water and pollute surface and ground waters, thus rendering these waters too unfit for uses like drinking and irrigation<sup>2</sup>. Govindan (1989) showed

that sewage of Indian domestic area contains high amounts of such pollutants and nutrients<sup>3</sup>.

With the exponentially increasing population pressure and huge amount of wastewater thus generated, biological wastewater treatment systems has drawn the interests of scientists in recent years<sup>1, 4</sup>. Biological treatment is a cheaper, more efficient and sustainable choice than conventional chemical wastewater treatment and recycling<sup>5, 6</sup>. Cyanobacteria are perfect organisms for biological treatment as they are photoautotrophic and inexpensive and easy enough to maintain<sup>7</sup>. Cyanobacteria may release several antimicrobial exudates like bacteriocins, polyphenols and terpenoids which in turn may inhibit other members of microbial community<sup>8-12</sup>. Cyanobacterial biomass is also economically useful for different byproducts like amino acids, proteins

and vitamins<sup>10</sup>. Wastewater can thus be treated in waste stabilization ponds by cyanobacteria, alone or in symbiosis with heterotrophic bacteria, for reuse of treated wastewater in aquaculture or agriculture and for production of microbial biomass for beneficial uses<sup>13</sup>.

Different species of cyanobacteria are normally found in wastewater<sup>2, 14</sup>. Though the removal of nutrients like nitrogenous and phosphorus compounds, which may lead to cultural eutrophication, has been studied by several workers, prospect of removal of pathogenic bacteria by living organisms has been neglected<sup>4, 15-17</sup>. Few studies had been done in natural wastewater treatment systems<sup>18, 19</sup>. Recently workers are searching for strains of cyanobacteria with high biomass yields and high utilization potential for mass production in wastewater<sup>17</sup>. The cyanobacteria produced in the wastewater could be used as a source of low cost but high food-value protein<sup>20</sup>. In present study *in vitro* antimicrobial activity along with biomass production in domestic wastewater by Cyanobacteria *Spirulina platensis* was evaluated.

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

### *Sampling and Preservation*

Untreated wastewater samples were collected from the inlet point of Kalyani Sewage treatment plant situated in the satellite township of Kalyani, West Bengal. This wastewater is generally domestic in nature. The plant gets about 10-12 million gallons wastewater per day. Samples were collected in polyethylene wide-mouth bottles of minimum sample size 1l. It was important to avoid surface materials during sampling. Sterilized glass bottles were used for microbial analyses<sup>4, 21</sup>. Samples were taken to laboratory keeping it protected from direct sunlight and heat and analyzed as early as possible. Refrigeration at 4°C and addition of preservatives, if necessary, were done according to APHA<sup>21</sup>.

### **Microbial analyses**

All physico-chemical analyses and Heterotrophic plate counts were done by pour plate method after incubation for 48 hours in plate count agar<sup>21</sup>. Samples were serial-diluted with sterilized distilled water for getting appropriate counts. All microbiological operations were done aseptically. Total and Faecal coliform counts were done according to multiple tube fermentation method of APHA<sup>21</sup>.

### **Organisms used**

One environmental isolate *Spirulina platensis*

(isolated from sewage) was used in this study. It was maintained in Zarrouk's medium at 28°C with 500 lux light intensity<sup>22</sup>.

### **Pathogenic bacteria removal by cyanobacterial culture from wastewater**

500ml wastewater samples in 1l Erlen-meyer flasks were inoculated with 2-3 ml of fresh cultures of different species (to reach an initial inoculum concentration of about 0.5 µg chlorophyll-a ml<sup>-1</sup> sample) and incubated at the cultural conditions given above. Respective negative control sets were run simultaneously. Another set of controls comprising inoculated media (Zarrouk's) were also run. To study the effect of cyanobacteria like *Spirulina* Sp. on pathogenic bacteria, raw unsterilized wastewater was incubated with *Spirulina* sp. for 3, 6 and 9 days. After proper incubation, pathogenic bacteria were enumerated<sup>21</sup>.

### **Biochemical estimation of biomass**

After the necessary incubation period, microbial cultures were harvested and the chlorophyll-a content<sup>23</sup> and protein content<sup>24</sup> were estimated.

### **Aqueous and ethanolic extracts**

For *in vitro* antimicrobial assay microbial strains used and method of preparation of aqueous and ethanolic are as described by Das<sup>25</sup>. Aqueous extract of each part was prepared by boiling 5 g of dried cyanobacterial powder in 100ml sterile distilled water over moderate flame for 20 min. The aqueous extract was cooled, double filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper and then kept in sterile screw capped glass vials at 4°C. Five gram of powder sample was crushed in ethanol for 48 hours at 24°C with stirring. The extracts were centrifuged and filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper and evaporated using vacuum rotary evaporator to near dryness and stored in glass vials in dark at 4°C.

### **Disc diffusion bioassay**

The disc diffusion test was carried out as described by Jorgensen et al. [35]. A 0.5 ml standardized inoculum suspension of each bacterial strain was spread on TSA plates with a sterile bent glass rod spreader. Sterile 6-mm Whatman no.1 filter paper discs were aseptically placed on plates. Sample decoctions or extracts of standard concentrations (10 mg dry weight) were aseptically poured on the discs along with sterile double distilled water or 10% DMSO as negative and ampicillin as positive controls. Plates were allowed to stand for 30 minutes at room temperature prior to incubation at 35-37 °C for 24 hours. The inhibition zone diameters were

measured three times and means were represented <sup>26</sup>.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The nature of domestic wastewater (settled) collected from Kalyani Sewage Treatment Plant inlet point was shown in Table 1. The pH, which was almost neutral at the time of collection, turned alkaline (pH 8.8) after sterilization for the purpose of experiment. Domestic sewage contains high amounts of biodegradable organic matter and inorganic nutrients. The nutrient levels of the raw wastewater were found to be moderate in nature. Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) and Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) levels were also high for further reuse in agriculture or aquaculture directly.

After incubation with *Spirulina platensis* for 3, 6 and 9 days, total coliforms and faecal coliforms of wastewater were gradually reduced (Table 2). *Spirulina platensis* removed coliforms and faecal coliforms considerably in respect with control. This phenomenon was probably due to adverse environmental conditions formed by cyanobacteria like – diurnal variation of pH, alkaline pH and temperature increase as a result of conversion of light energy to heat energy and antibacterial exudates <sup>27</sup>.

Chlorophyll and Protein content of the strain in wastewater increased uniformly (Table 3). Rate of growth was higher in the 1<sup>st</sup> week. The biochemical changes in wastewater were estimated in terms of chlorophyll-a and protein-contents. The rate of growth was very high during the first week but later decreased. Growth was almost stagnant after three weeks of growth, seemingly because of nutrient depletion. In most cases growth was optimum on second week after which it had decreased to some extent. The protein content (In first two weeks) was

found to be comparable to that of organism grown in appropriate culture media without addition of extra carbon-source or minerals. Bhagwat and Apte also noticed similar trend in *Anabaena* sp. <sup>28</sup>.

The extracts of the cyanobacterial strain were effective against *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella enterica* and fungus *Aspergillus niger* (Table 4). Highest DIZ was shown against *Escherichia coli* treated with ethanolic extract. *Serratia marcescens* is the most resistant strain tested against these extracts. Ethanolic extract was more effective than aqueous extract. The antimicrobial activity of *S. platensis* was assumed to be due to the presence of organic compounds like  $\gamma$ - Linolenic acid, hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester etc. <sup>29, 30</sup>. [Demule et al. (1996); Kumar et al. (2011)].

## CONCLUSION

From the above studies it may be concluded that the wastewater concerned is suitable for mass-culture of cyanobacteria which in turn, can sufficiently reduce pathogenic microbial levels in sewage. Economically significant protein-rich strain *Spirulina platensis* was excellent for removing pathogenic bacteria from unsterilized wastewater. In such a process wastewater might be removed of nutrients and pathogens and simultaneously cyanobacterial biomass could be developed.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to University Grants Commission, India for financing this research work with a Minor Research Project. Author likes to thank R.S.I.C., Bose Institute for helping in A.A.S. study.

Table 1

### Physicochemical parameters of raw wastewater concerned.

Temperature (°C)	30.6±2.3
pH (Before sterilization)	7.3±0.2
pH (After sterilization)	8.8±0.3
BOD5 (mg l-1 O2)	93.4±4.1
COD (mg l-1 O2)	165.0 ±11.5
Nitrate-content (mg l-1 )	0.41±0.06
Ammonia (mg l-1 )	12.8±1.7
Total Phosphorus (mg l-1 )	2.55±0.24
Total coliform count ( per 100ml)	8.1±0.3x10 <sup>9</sup>
Faecal coliform count ( per 100ml)	4.5±0.2x10 <sup>8</sup>

**Table 2**  
Change of total and faecal coliform counts of wastewater under incubation with *Spirulina platensis*

Days	Incubation with <i>Spirulina platensis</i> I		Control	
	Total coliforms	Faecal coliforms	Total coliforms	Faecal coliforms
0	$7.8 \times 10^9$	$4.6 \times 10^8$	$7.8 \times 10^9$	$4.6 \times 10^8$
3	$3.5 \times 10^8$	$7.2 \times 10^7$	$5.2 \times 10^9$	$2.3 \times 10^8$
6	$2.1 \times 10^7$	$8.7 \times 10^6$	$4.1 \times 10^8$	$6.6 \times 10^7$
9	$9.4 \times 10^5$	$6.3 \times 10^4$	$3.2 \times 10^7$	$8.1 \times 10^6$

**Table 3**  
Biomass yield in terms of Chlorophyll-a content and Total protein content of Cyanobacteria in wastewater

Organisms	Incubation			
	Day 0	Day 7	Day 14	Day 21
Weekly Chlorophyll-a content ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )	$0.5 \pm 0.02$	$3.83 \pm 0.15$	$5.21 \pm 0.18$	$6.03 \pm 0.16$
Control	$0.5 \pm 0.02$	$3.78 \pm 0.18$	$5.4 \pm 0.32$	$6.5 \pm 0.5$
Total protein content ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )	$35 \pm 2.2$	$267 \pm 8.2$	$352 \pm 15.4$	$369 \pm 12.6$
Control	$35 \pm 2.2$	$258 \pm 10.5$	$370 \pm 10.5$	$427 \pm 12.2$

**Table 4**  
Antibacterial activities, indicated by diameter of inhibition zone (DIZ, mm, for 10 mg dry wt./ disc, Mean $\pm$ SD) of *Spirulina platensis* against the micro-organisms [ - means <7mm DIZ i.e DIZ of negative control]

Microorganisms	<i>Spirulina platensis</i>	
	Aqueous	Ethanollic
<i>E.coli</i>	$9 \pm 1$	$11 \pm 1.527$
<i>S. aureus</i>	$8 \pm 0.577$	$9 \pm 0.577$
<i>S. enterica</i>	$10 \pm 1$	$10 \pm 1.527$
<i>B. cereus</i>	$10 \pm 1$	$9 \pm 1$
<i>S. marcescens</i>	-	-
<i>L. brevis</i>	$8 \pm 1$	$10 \pm 1$
<i>A.niger</i>	$8 \pm 1.527$	$9 \pm 1.527$

## REFERENCES

1. Crawford RL, Crawford DL, Bioremediation: principles and applications. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. 1996.
2. Sallal AKJ, Growth of cyanobacteria on sewage effluents. Microbios, 1986; 48, 121-129.
3. Govindan VS, Food and feed production from municipal wastewater treatment. Biol. Wastes. 1989; 30, 169-179.
4. Das S, Santra SC, Simultaneous biomass production and mixed-origin wastewater treatment by five environmental isolates of Cyanobacteria. Biologija 2010; 56(1-4), 9-13.
5. Puigagut J, Villaseñor J, Salas JJ, Bécares E, García J, Subsurface-flow constructed wetlands in Spain for the sanitation of small communities: a comparative study. Ecol Engg. 2007; 30, 312-9.

6. Reinoso R, Torres LA, Becares E, Efficiency of natural systems for removal of bacteria and pathogenic parasites from wastewater. *Science Of The Total Environment*. 2008; 395, 80-86.
7. Das S, Santra SC, Phenol removal from coal refining wastewater by immobilized cyanobacteria. *EJEAFChe*. 2012; 11(6), 673-679.
8. Baines SB, Pace ML, The production of dissolved organic matter by phytoplankton and its importance to bacteria: pattern across marine and freshwater systems. *Limnol Oceanogr*. 1991; 36, 1078-1090.
9. Cole, JJ, Interactions between bacteria and algae in aquatic ecosystems. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst*. 1982; 13, 291-314.
10. Borowitzka MA, Microalgae as source of pharmaceuticals and other biologically active compounds. *J. Appl. Phycol*. 1995; 7, 3-15
11. Ostensvik O, Skulberg OM, Underal B, Hormazabal V, Antibacterial properties of extracts from selected planktonic freshwater cyanobacteria: a comparative study of bacterial bioassays. *J. Appl. Microbiol*. 1998; 84, 1117-1124.
12. Kirkwood, AE, Nalewajko C, Fulthorpe RR, The effects of cyanobacterial exudates on bacterial growth and biodegradation of organic contaminants. *Microbial Ecology*. 2006; 51, 4-12.
13. Govindan VS, Pisciculture and biomagnification of cadmium in a wastewater ecosystem. In : C. Etnier, J. Staudenmann, A. Schönborn Eds. *Environ Res Forum*. 1996; 5-6, 221-32.
14. Govindan VS, Studies on algae in relation to treatment of dairy wastewater. *Indian J. Env. Health*. 1984; 26, 261-263.
15. Oswald WJ, Productivity of algae in sewage disposal. *Solar Energy*. 1973; 15, 107-117.
16. Cifferri O, Tiboni O. The Biochemistry and industrial potential of *Spirulina*. *Ann Rev. Microbiol*. 1985; 39, 503.
17. Pandey U, Pandey J, Enhanced production of biomass, pigments and antioxidant capacity of a nutritionally important cyanobacterium *Nostochopsis lobatus*. *Biores. Tech*. 2008; 99, 4520-23.
18. García M, Bécares E, Bacterial removal in three pilot-scale wastewater treatment systems for rural areas. *Water Sci. Technol*. 1997; 35, 197-200.
19. Perkins J, Hunter C, Removal of enteric bacteria in a surface flow constructed wetland in Yorkshire, England. *Water Research*. 2000; 34 (6), 1941-7.
20. Madkour FF, Kamil AE, Nasr HS. Production and nutritive value of *Spirulina platensis* in reduced cost media. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research*. 2012; 38(1): 51-57.
21. American Public Health Association (APHA, AWWA, WEF) Standard method for examination of water and wastewater analysis (18<sup>th</sup> ed.), American Public Health Association, Washington D. C. 1992.
22. Zarrouk, C. Contribution al'étude d'une cyanophyceae. Influence de divers facteurs phisiquer et chimiques sur lacroissance et la photosynthese de *Spirulina maxima* (Setch. Et Gardner) Geitler, Ph. D. thesis, University of Paris, France, 1966.
23. Parsons TR, Strickland JDH, Particulate organic matter.III.I. Pigment analysis, III.I.I. Determination of phytoplankton pigments. *Jour. Fish. Res. Bd*. 1965; 18,117-127.
24. Lowry OH, Rosebrough AL, Randall RJ, Protein measurement with the Folin-Phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chem*. 1953; 193, 265-275.
25. Das S, In vitro Evaluation of phytochemicals and antimicrobial activities of extracts of seeds and leaves of *Lawsonia inermis* Linn. *American Journal of PharmTech Research* 2014; 4(2), 710-719.
26. Das S, Coku A, Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of *Osbeckia stellata* Buch.-Ham. Ex D. Don (Melastomataceae) prevalent of Darjeeling hills. *International Journal Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2013; 5(2), 551-54.
27. Subramanian G, Uma L. Cyanobacteria in pollution control. *J. Sci. Industr. Res*. 1996; 55, 685-692.
28. Bhagwat AA, Apte SK, Comparative analysis of protein induced by heat shock, salinity and osmotic stress in the nitrogen-fixing cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. Strain L 31, *J. Bacteriol*. 1989; 171, 5187-5189.
29. Demule MCZ, Decaire GZ, Decano MS, Bioactive substances from *Spirulina platensis*. *Int. J. Exp. Biol*. 1996; 58, 93-96
30. Kumar V, Bhatnagar AK, Srivastava JN, Antibacterial activity of crude extracts of *Spirulina platensis* and its structural elucidation of bioactive compound. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*. 2011; 5(32), 7043-7048.